## INFORMATION SHEET

## DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

St Paul District

05-525-DJP

REGULATORY PROJECT N	MANAGE	NAGER: <u>Dale J. Pfeiffle</u>			Date: February 7, 2005						
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:  In the office Y (Y/N) Date: February 7,2005 At the project site (Y/N) Date: PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:											
State:	OKWIATI	J14.				Wisson	~ <b>:</b> ~				
~							Wisconsin Racine				
County:											
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:  15  12 7220717597N 97 059(52700)									<b>XX</b> 7		
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 42.7330717587N, 87.958653									<u>' VV</u>		
Name of waterway or watershed: <u>Root-Pike, Wisconsin, Illinois</u>											
SITE CONDITIONS:					_		_				
Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake											
River											
Stream											
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands		X									
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow											
Playa lake											
Vernal pool											
Natural pond											
Other water (identify type)											
	-										
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource an		type of iso	lated, non	-navigable, ii	ntra-state wat	er present an	d best estim	ate for size of	non-		

Migratory Bird Rule Factors :	If Known		If Unknown				
			Use Best Professional Judgment				
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make		
			to Occur	Occur	Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by			X				
Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that			X				
cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				X			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X			
1 Chack appropriate hoves that hact describe notantial for applicability of the Migratory Rind Rule to apply to ancite non-jurisdictional isolated							

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

## TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** 

FILE NUMBER:

Preliminary \_ Or Approved X.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): On February 3, 2005, a complete application was received for a proposal to discharge fill materials in 0.12 acre of a larger 1.03 acre wetland complex for the construction of a residential subdivision road. The remaining 0.91 acre of wetland would not be directly impacted by the proposed development and would be preserved.

A review of the Sturtevant, WI 7.5 minute quadrangle identifies the presence of wetlands at the site through the use of wetland spot symbols. The USGS quadrangle does not identify any surface water connections between the spot symbols and the mapped streams located 0.5 miles east and 1 mile west of the project site. The Racine County soil survey identifies a hydric soil series, totally surrounded by upland soil series. The mapped drainage pattern in the soil survey indicates that water flows into but not out of the project site wetland. A review of SEWRPC aerial photography from 1985, 1990, 1995, and 2000 did not reveal any surface water connections between the project site wetland and the local waterways identified above. Waters of the US are not

located in close proximity to the subject wetland. Therefore it is not an adjacent water. In addition, an interstate commerce connection could not be established. The subject wetland is therefore, isolated.